



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/076,900 02/14/2002		02/14/2002	David B. Weiner	UPAP-0497	3962		
- 34137	7590	04/19/2005	EXAMINER				
COZEN O'CONNOR, P.C. 1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508				LI, QIAN JANICE			
				ART UNIT			
				1632			

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/076,900	WEINER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Q. Janice Li	1632			

Before the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Q. Janice Li	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mus	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	RST REPLY WAS FILE	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on <u>23 February 2005</u> . A brief in compliance wi Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed vAMENDMENTS	th 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed with ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid di	in two months of the ismissal of the appea	date of filing the
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains. 			because
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ow);	•	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co		(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendm	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>15,16,39-54,81-91 and 93-107</u> .			·
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> /it or other evidence i	oot be entered is necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	Let.	No(s)	
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	Q. Janice Li Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632	

Continuation of Box 11. Concerning the rejections under 35 USC 103(a), applicants argue that Carson et al lists sublingual separately from oral route of administration demonstrating that sublingual is different from oral just as sublingual is different from mouth.

In response, the Office has cited dictionary to show that sublingal is part of the mouth, oral administration of a medication will almost inevatibly reach the mucosal area under the tongue. Further, it is noteworthy that both Carson patent and the specification teach mucosal route of nucleic acid administration. Here, the mucosal is the key word. The Carson list and the list provided in the original claims of instant application pointed to different parts of the body mucosal surface such as oral, nasal, vaginal, urethra, and rectal in a Markush group. Neither the specification nor the cited patent teaches a patentably distinct difference when administering a nucleic acid via different part of the body mucosa other than optimization. Accordingly, the claimed invention as a whole was prima facie obvious over the combined teachings of Carson et al, Gately et al, and Wang et al.

Other arguments have been addressed previously, and will not be reiterated